

**BEFORE THE KERALA STATE HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION,  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM**

**Present: Mr.Justice N.Dhinakar,  
Hon'ble Chairperson**

Dated this the 14<sup>th</sup> May, 2010.

H.R.M.P.No 269 of 2010

Petitioner : Vinuda Vijayan,  
Vimalalayam,  
Kaipattoor Village, Ernakulam Dist.

Respondent :

**ORDER**

The complaint of the petitioner is that she and her family are not able to lead a peaceful life on account of the harassment by some individuals and that on 21-12-2009 her father was attacked but no action was taken by Mulanthuruty Police.

In the report the Superintendent of Police, Ernakulam Rural, Aluva, has stated that the petitioner was a Member of Edackattuvayal Grama Panchayat and there were some dispute regarding the election for the President of the Panchayat and thereafter the petitioner resigned her Membership of the Panchayat. In the report it is further stated that on account of the above dispute a quarrel occurred between the petitioner's father and the respondents Satheesh Kumar and others on 21-12-2009 for which Mulanthuruty Police registered crime 1221/09 against the petitioner's father Vijayan. According to the report, on the same day the respondents encroached into the house of the petitioner and damaged the house and house hold articles and the Police registered crime 1222/09 against the respondents and after completing the investigation final report was filed before the Judicial First Class Magistrate, Ernakulam, on 20-1-2010. It is also stated in the report that in respect of the assault on the petitioner's father Vujayan by the respondents at 8.30

p.m. on 21-12-2009 crime 1234/09 was registered at Mulanthuruthy Police Station and the investigation is in progress.

The above report shows that Mulanthuruthy Police registered three crimes in connection with the incidents and in one crime final report was filed before the Court after completing the investigation.

In view of the above report no further orders are required except to state that the investigation officer in the other two crimes should expedite the investigation and then file the final reports before the Court and if the petitioner has any grievance against the investigation and the final reports she has to approach only the Court concerned to redress her grievance in view the judgment by the Supreme Court in 'Sakri Vasu Vs. State of U.P and others (AIR 2008 Supreme Court 907)'

The petition is closed accordingly.

Justice N. Dhinakar,  
Chairperson.