

**BEFORE THE KERALA STATE HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION,  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM**

**Present: Mr.Justice N.Dhinakar,  
Hon'ble Chairperson**

Dated this the 13<sup>th</sup> May, 2010.

H.R.M.P.No.1267 of 2010

Petitioner : A.Sobha, Dear Villa,  
Moonnamood, Vattiyookavu.

Respondent :

**ORDER**

Complaint of the petitioner is that she was assaulted by Purushothaman and others who are the relatives of her husband on 24-3-2010 and Vattiyorkave Police did not take any action on her petition due to the influence of the said Purushothaman who is a Head Constable in the Traffic Police Station.

In the report submitted by the Assistant Commissioner of Police, Cantonment, Thiruvananthapuram, it is stated that in respect of the assault on the petitioner crime 217/10 was registered at Vattiyookavu Police Station u/s 452, 341, 323, 324 r/w 34 IPC on the complaint of the petitioner and the investigation is progressing. In the report it is also stated that during the course of investigation accused 3 and 4 filed an application before the Sessions Court, Thiruvananthapuram, for anticipatory bail and the investigation officer filed statement of facts before the Court and the Court directed A3 and 4 to surrender before the Judicial First Class Magistrate-II, Nedumangad and obtain bail. In the report it is further stated that the enquiry did not reveal any influence by Purushothaman on the Sub Inspector of Police, Vattiyookavu, as alleged in the petition. In the report it is also stated that the investigation officer was asked to expedite the investigation, arrest the accused and then file the final report before the Court at the earliest.

The above report shows that the grievance of the petitioner is redressed by the Police by registering the crime against the accused hence no further orders are required on this petition except to state that the investigation officer in crime 217/10 is to conduct the investigation in an expeditious manner and then file the final report before the Court. If the petitioner has any grievance against the investigation and the final report she has to redress the same by approaching the Court concerned in view of the judgment of the Supreme Court in Sakri Vasu Vs. State of U.P and Others (in AIR 2008 Supreme Court 907).

The petition is accordingly closed.

Justice N. Dhinakar,  
Chairperson.