

**BEFORE THE KERALA STATE HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM**

**Present: Mr.Justice N.Dhinakar,
Hon'ble Chairperson**

Dated this the 11th January, 2010.

H.R.M.P.No.1551 of 2006

Petitioner : K.Jacob,
Thottathil house,
Adichanallur.P.O, Kollam.

Respondent : Sub Inspector of Police,
Chathannur Police Station, Kollam.

ORDER

The complaint of the petitioner that he was threatened by the Sub Inspector of Police, Chathannur, was directed to be enquired by a Member of this Commission by the Chief Investigation Officer (CIO) of tis Commission and accordingly the CIO, after examining the petitioner and the Station House Officer of Chathannur Police Station, filed the report holding that no evidence was seen to show that the petitioner was threatened or abused by the officer concerned and as also stated that the petitioner being an office bearer of a political party has enquired about a case in his locality and the officer concerned might not have obliged and therefore the petition could have been sent alleging misbehaviour.

The above report, therefore, shows that the CIO did not find any material to substantiate the petitioner's complaint but indicates that the Sub Inspector of Police might not have obliged when the petitioner who is a member of a political party would have interfered.

in the above HRMP is that he was denied grant of temporary status of Group D by the Postal Department.

A Member of this Commission called for a report from the Chief Post Master General, Kerala Circle, Thiruvananthapuram and accordingly the report is received.

In the report it is stated that no request or representation from the petitioner was pending in the office of the Chief Post Master General. It is further stated in the report that after the petitioner had filed the above HRMP the petitioner filed a representation to the Director of Postal Services (HQ), in the office of the first respondent and that the same is under consideration and appropriate action as deemed fit, as provided for in the relevant rules, will be taken on his representation.

In view of the report no further orders are required, more so, when the averments are against the Postal Department, a Central Government undertaking, over which this Commission has no jurisdiction and the matter also relates to service disputes.

The petition is accordingly closed.

Justice N.Dhinakar,
Chairperson.