

**BEFORE THE KERALA STATE HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM**

**Present: Mr.Justice N.Dhinakar,
Hon'ble Chairperson**

Dated this the 28th September, 2010.

H.R.M.P.No.620 of 2009

Petitioner : V.Venugopalan Nair, Manikantavilasam,
Kavalloor, Keezharoor.P.O.

Respondent :

ORDER

The complaint of the petitioner is that his son Ajayan, a first year student in the Industrial Training Institute (ITI) of Dhanuvachapuram, was assaulted by the Chairman of the institution and by some other identifiable persons on 3-2-2009 and no action was taken by Neyyattinkara Police on his complaint in spite of the fact that his son suffered injuries.

In the report submitted by the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Neyyattinkara, it is stated that in respect of the incident crime 61/09 was registered at Parassala Police Station against the accused and the investigation is in progress. According to the report, the Circle Inspector of Police, Parassala, has directed the Sub Inspector of Police, Parassala, to complete the investigation and arrest the accused and then file the final report before the Court.

In the report submitted by the Director, Employment and Training, Thiruvananthapuram, it is stated that in respect of the incident mentioned in the complaint an enquiry was conducted and two trainees were suspended. A meeting

of the PTA Executive was also convened and a sum of Rs.2,000/- was paid to Ajayan, son of the petitioner as financial assistance. It is further stated in the report that the trainees were suspended as per the Training Manuel Section 23 (B) and thereafter the students protested against the Principal and the PTA Committee. Therefore a meeting was convened and it was decided to reinstate the suspended trainees and that in the FIR also no offence was registered under the provisions of the Prohibition of Ragging Act. It is also stated in the report that the Director instructed the Principal, I T I, Dhanuvachapuram, by sending a D.O letter to see that no such occurrence takes place in future and to take preventive measures.

In view of the above report no further orders are required since a crime was registered in respect of the incident. If the petitioner has any grievance he has to seek the grievance redressed only before a Court of law in view of the judgment of the Supreme Court in “Sakri Vasu Vs State of U.P and Others (AIR 2008 Supreme Court 907)”.

The petition is accordingly closed.

Justice N. Dhinakar,
Chairperson.