- 1 -

BEFORE THE KERALA STATE HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

Present: Mr.Justice N.Dhinakar,

Hon'ble Chairperson

Dated this the 2nd August, 2010.

H.R.M.P.No.672 of 2010

Petitioner : Vijayamma,

Mannukkala Puthenveettil, Kuttiyanikkadu.

Keezhoor.P.O.

Respondent:

ORDER

The complaint of the petitioner is that at about 8.00 p.m on 23-1-2010 her neighbour Santha alias Ramani and her relatives assaulted her husband and took

away his torch and thereafter they called the police. Though she filed a complaint

before the Aryancode Police in the matter no action was taken.

In the report submitted by the Deputy Superintendent of Police,

Neyyattinkara, it is stated that the petitioner's husband is a drunkard and a public

nuisance and he was warned several times by the Police. According to the report,

at about 8.00 p.m. on 23-1-2010 Jayaprakash, son of Santha, came to the Police

Station and complained that the petitioner's husband Raju abused and tried to

assault his parents. The Police officials went to the spot and they saw that Raju

was creating problems in his own house in an intoxicated state and hence he was

warned. In the report it is further stated that the petitioner filed a petition before

Aryancode Police alleging assault on her husband by Santha and others and the

- 2 -

enquiry, on the said complaint, revealed that the allegation by the petitioner is

false and hence no action was taken by the Police.

It is also stated in the repot that an enquiry was conducted on this HRMP

and it was revealed that the petitioner filed this HRMP only to prevent the Police

action against her husband for causing nuisance after getting intoxicated and that

the parties were warned not to cause any nuisance in the area and the Sub

Inspector of Police, Aryancode was directed to take legal action if any problem is

caused by any of them.

The above report, therefore, shows that an enquiry was conducted by the

Police on the complaint of the petitioner and during the said enquiry it was

revealed that the allegation of the petitioner is false and hence the Police did not

take any further action.

In view of the above report no further orders are required on this petition

and if the petitioner has any grievance regarding the enquiry conducted by the

Police she has to approach the Court for the redressal of her grievance in view the

judgment of the Supreme Court in 'Sakri Vasu Vs. State of U.P and Others (AIR

2008 Supreme Court 907)'.

The petition is accordingly closed.

Justice N. Dhinakar, Chairperson.