

**BEFORE THE KERALA STATE HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM**

**Present: Mr.Justice N.Dhinakar,
Hon'ble Chairperson**

Dated this the 29th January, 2010.

H.R.M.P.No.710 of 2009

Petitioner : Any.C.V, alias Usha,
CC.11/1059 A, Charangat veed,
Near MES Quarters, St.John Pattm Desom,
Fort Kochi Village, Kochi Taluk.

Respondents : 1) C.V.Johnson,
C.C.11/1059 A, Charangat veed,
Near MES Quarters, St.John Pattm Desom,
Fort Kochi Village, Kochi Taluk.
2) Elizabeth, W/o R1.
3) Laila Poulouse, M/o R2.
4) Benoy alias Vineesh, B/o R2.
5) Udayan, Sub Inspector of Police,
Fort Kochi Police Station.

ORDER

The complaint by the petitioner is against respondents 1 to 3 who are related to each other alleging that they are harassing her with the support of R5 who is the Sub Inspector of Police, Fort Kochi. According to her, she was assaulted on 3-2-2009 by R2 and R3 and no action was taken though her statement was recorded by a Police Constable on 5-2-2009. It is also stated by her that cases are pending before Courts in MC.15/09 and O.S.443/08 between the parties.

In the report submitted by the Assistant Commissioner of Police, Mattanchery, it is stated that the petitioner filed MC.15/09 and the civil suit 443/08 was filed by R1. According to the report, on account of an incident dated

3-2-2009 crime 3/09 was registered u/s 341, 323, 294 (b) IPC at Vanitha Police Station, Ernakulam, on the complaint of R3 and after investigation final report was filed before the Judicial First Class Magistrate –I, Ernakulam, on 20-2-2009.

It is further stated in the report that the petition filed by the petitioner on 12-2-2009 was treated as a petition and numbered as 465/PTN/09-MS which was enquired and during the enquiry both parties were advised not to create problem and to seek their grievances redressed in the case pending before the Court and R1 was also directed to make sufficient arrangements for the welfare of the petitioner till final orders are passed by the Court. According to the report, there is no problem at present in the area.

In view of the report no further orders are required and the petitioner is to seek her grievance redressed before the Court concerned as the matter had become subjudiced.

The petition is accordingly closed.

Justice N. Dhinakar,
Chairperson